

## ALGORITHMIC DETECTABILITY OF IWIP AUTOMORPHISMS

ILYA KAPOVICH

ABSTRACT. We produce an algorithm that, given  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ , where  $N \geq 2$ , decides whether or not  $\varphi$  is an iwip ("fully irreducible") automorphism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a compact surface plays a fundamental role in low-dimensional topology and the study of mapping class groups. In the context of  $\text{Out}(F_N)$  the concept of being pseudo-Anosov has several (non-equivalent) analogs.

The first is the notion of an "atoroidal" automorphism. An element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is called *atoroidal* if there do not exist  $m \geq 1$ ,  $h \in F_N, h \neq 1$  such that  $\varphi^m$  preserves the conjugacy class  $[h]$  of  $h$  in  $F_N$ . A key result of Brinkmann [9], utilizing the Bestvina-Feighn Combination Theorem [1], says that  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is atoroidal if and only if the mapping torus group of some (equivalently, any) representative  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_N)$  of  $\varphi$  is word-hyperbolic. Another, more important, free group analog of being pseudo-Anosov is the notion of a "fully irreducible" or "iwip" automorphism. An element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is called *reducible* if there exists a free product decomposition  $F_N = A_1 * \cdots * A_k * C$  with  $k \geq 1$ ,  $A_i \neq 1$  and  $A_i \neq F_N$  such that  $\varphi$  permutes the conjugacy classes  $[A_1], \dots, [A_k]$ . An element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is *irreducible* if it is not reducible. An element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is *fully irreducible* or *iwip* (which stands for "irreducible with irreducible powers") if  $\varphi^m$  is irreducible for all integers  $m \geq 1$  (equivalently, for all nonzero integers  $m$ ). Thus  $\varphi$  is an iwip if and only if there do not exist a proper free factor  $A$  of  $F_N$  and  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\varphi^m([A]) = [A]$ . The notion of an iwip automorphism plays a key role in the study of geometry and dynamics of  $\text{Out}(F_N)$  and of the Culler-Vogtmann Outer space (see, for example [18, 22, 4, 14, 8, 10, 11, 16], etc).

If  $S$  is a connected compact surface, there are well-known algorithms (e.g. see [3]) to decide whether or not an element  $g \in \text{Mod}(S)$  of the mapping class group of  $S$  is pseudo-Anosov. Similarly, because of the result of Brinkmann mentioned above, it is easy (at least in theory) to decide algorithmically whether an element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is atoroidal. Namely, we pick a representative  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_N)$  of  $\varphi$ , form the mapping torus group  $G = F_N \rtimes_{\Phi} \mathbb{Z}$  of  $\Phi$  and start, in parallel, checking if  $G$  is hyperbolic (e.g. using the partial algorithm of Papasoglu [19] for detecting hyperbolicity) while at the same time looking for periodic conjugacy classes of nontrivial elements of  $F_N$ . Eventually exactly one of these procedures will terminate and we will know whether or not  $\varphi$  is atoroidal. A similar algorithm can be used to decide, for a closed hyperbolic surface  $S$ , if an element  $g \in \text{Mod}(S)$  is pseudo-Anosov.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F, Secondary 57M, 37B, 37D.  
The author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-0904200.

By contrast, there is no obvious approach to algorithmically deciding whether an element  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  is an iwip. In this note we provide such an algorithm:

**Theorem A.** *There exists an algorithm that, given  $N \geq 2$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  decides whether or not  $\varphi$  is an iwip.*

A key step in the argument is an "if and only if" criterion of iwipness for atoroidal elements of  $\text{Out}(F_N)$  in terms of Whitehead graphs of train-track representatives of  $\varphi$ , see Proposition 4.4 below. Proposition 4.4 is similar to and inspired by Lemma 9.9 in a recent paper of Pfaff [20]; see also Proposition 5.1 in a paper of Jäger and Lustig [15] for a related criterion of iwipness. Compared to the proof of Lemma 9.9 in [20], our proof of Proposition 4.4 is more elementary and does not involve any relative train-track technology or any machinery from the Bestvina-Feign-Handel work [5] on the Tits Alternative for  $\text{Out}(F_N)$ . However, we do utilize the notion of a "stable lamination" developed by Bestvina-Feign-Handel in [4] for iwip elements of  $\text{Out}(F_N)$ .

To the best of our knowledge, the statement of Theorem A does not exist in the literature, although it is most likely that this result is known to some experts in the field. Since the notion of an iwip plays such a fundamental role in the study of  $\text{Out}(F_N)$ , we think it is useful to put a proof of Theorem A in writing.

I am grateful to Martin Lustig for useful discussions and to Matt Clay for pointing out and correcting an error in the enumeration procedure in Case 2 of Theorem 4.6 in an earlier version of this paper.

## 2. TRAIN-TRACK AND GRAPH TERMINOLOGY

For a free group  $F_N$  (where  $N \geq 2$ ) we fix an identification  $F_N = \pi_1(R_N)$ , where  $R_N$  is the  $N$ -rose, that is, a wedge of  $N$  circles.

We will only briefly recall the basic definitions related to train-tracks for free group automorphisms. We refer the reader to [2, 12, 7, 5, 8] for detailed background information.

**2.1. Graphs and graph-maps.** By a *graph* we mean a 1-dimensional cell-complex. For a graph  $\Gamma$  we refer to 0-cells of  $\Gamma$  as *vertices* and to open 1-cells of  $\Gamma$  as *topological edges*. We denote the set of vertices of  $\Gamma$  by  $V\Gamma$  and the set of topological edges of  $\Gamma$  by  $E_{\text{top}}\Gamma$ . Each topological edge of  $\Gamma$  is homeomorphic to  $(0, 1)$  and thus admits exactly two orientations. A topological edge with a choice of an orientation is called an *oriented edge* or just *edge* of  $\Gamma$ . We denote the set of oriented edges of  $\Gamma$  by  $E\Gamma$ . For an oriented edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  we denote by  $o(e)$  the initial vertex of  $e$  and by  $t(e)$  the terminal vertex of  $e$ ; we also denote by  $e^{-1}$  the edge  $e$  with the opposite orientation. Thus  $o(e^{-1}) = t(e)$ ,  $t(e^{-1}) = o(e)$  and  $(e^{-1})^{-1} = e$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is a graph, a *turn* in  $\Gamma$  is an unordered pair  $e, e'$  of oriented edges of  $\Gamma$  such that  $o(e) = o(e')$ . A turn  $e, e'$  is *degenerate* if  $e = e'$  and *non-degenerate* if  $e \neq e'$ .

An *edge-path* in a graph  $\Gamma$  is a sequence  $\gamma = e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $n \geq 1$  oriented edges such that  $t(e_i) = o(e_{i+1})$  for all  $1 \leq i < n$ . We say that  $n$  is the *simplicial length* of  $\gamma$  and denote  $|\gamma| = n$ . We put  $o(\gamma) := o(e_1)$ ,  $t(\gamma) := t(e_n)$  and  $\gamma^{-1} := e_n^{-1}, \dots, e_1^{-1}$ . We also view a vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$  as an edge-path  $\gamma$  of simplicial length 0 with  $o(\gamma) = t(\gamma) = v$ .

If  $\gamma = e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an edge-path in  $\Gamma$  and  $e, e'$  is a turn in  $\Gamma$ , we say that this turn is *contained in*  $\gamma$  if there exists  $1 \leq i < n$  such that  $e_i = e^{-1}, e_{i+1} = e'$  or  $e_i = (e')^{-1}, e_{i+1} = e$ .

An edge-path  $\gamma = e_1, \dots, e_n$  is *tight* or *reduced* if there does not exist  $i$  such that  $e_{i+1} = e_i^{-1}$ , that is, if every turn contained in  $\gamma$  is non-degenerate.

A closed edge-path  $\gamma = e_1, \dots, e_n$  is *cyclically tight* or *cyclically reduced* if every cyclic permutation of  $\gamma$  is tight.

If  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  are graphs, a *graph-map* is a continuous map  $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  such that  $f(V\Gamma_1) \subseteq V\Gamma_2$  and such that for every oriented edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma_1$  its image  $f(e)$  is a tight edge-path of positive simplicial length.

Every graph-map  $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  comes equipped with its *derivative map*  $Df : E\Gamma_1 \rightarrow E\Gamma_2$ : for each  $e \in E\Gamma_1$  we define  $(Df)(e)$  to be the initial edge of  $f(e)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite graph and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a graph-map. Let  $r = \#E_{top}\Gamma$  and let  $E_{top}\Gamma = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_r\}$  be an ordering of the set of topological edges of  $\Gamma$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, r$  let  $e_i$  be an oriented edge corresponding to some choice of an orientation on the topological edge  $e'_i$ . The *transition matrix*  $A(f) = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$  of  $f$  (with respect to this ordering) is an  $r \times r$ -matrix where the entry  $a_{ij}$  is the total number of occurrences of  $e_i^{\pm 1}$  in the path  $f(e_j)$ . We say that  $A(f)$  is *positive*, denoted  $A(f) > 0$ , if  $a_{ij} > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ . We say that  $A = A(f)$  is *irreducible* if for every  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$  there exists  $t = t(i, j) \geq 1$  such that  $(A^t)_{ij} > 0$ . Thus if  $A(f) > 0$  then  $A(f)$  is irreducible.

Recall that a vertex  $v \in V\Gamma$  is *f-periodic* (or just *periodic*) if there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n(v) = v$ . Similarly, an edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  is *f-periodic* (or just *periodic*) if there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $f^n(e)$  starts with  $e$ .

**2.2. Train-tracks.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite connected graph. A graph-map  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a *train-track map* if for every edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  and for every  $n \geq 1$  the path  $f^n(e)$  is tight (that is, if all the turns contained in  $f^n(e)$  are non-degenerate). A train-track map  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is *expanding* if there exists  $e \in E\Gamma$  such that  $|f^n(e)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 2.1.** If  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a train-track map, then for every  $m \geq 1$  the map  $f^m : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is also a train-track map. Moreover, the definition of the transition matrix implies that for every  $m \geq 1$  we have  $A(f^m) = [A(f)]^m$ .

If  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a train-track map, we say that a turn  $e, e'$  in  $\Gamma$  is *taken* by  $f$  if there exist  $n \geq 1$  and  $e'' \in E\Gamma$  such that the turn  $e, e'$  is contained in the path  $f^n(e'')$ . Note that a taken turn is necessarily non-degenerate, since  $f$  is a train-track map.

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ . A *topological representative* of  $\varphi$  consists of a homotopy equivalence  $\alpha : R_N \rightarrow \Gamma$  (sometimes called a *marking*), where  $\Gamma$  is a finite connected graph, and a graph-map  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  with the following properties:

- (1) The map  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence.
- (2) If  $\beta : \Gamma \rightarrow R_N$  is a homotopy inverse of  $\alpha$  then at the level of  $F_N = \pi_1(R_N)$ , the map  $\beta \circ f \circ \alpha : R_N \rightarrow R_N$  induces precisely the outer automorphism  $\varphi$ .

For an outer automorphism  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  (where  $N \geq 2$ ), a *train-track representative* of  $\varphi$  is a topological representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\varphi$  such that  $f$  is a train-track map, and such that every vertex of  $\Gamma$  has degree  $\geq 3$ . Note that if  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a train-track representative of  $\varphi$  then for every  $m \geq 1$  the map  $f^m : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a train-track representative of  $\varphi^m$ .

An important basic result of Bestvina and Handel [2] states that every irreducible  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  (where  $N \geq 2$ ) admits a train-track representative with an irreducible transition-matrix.

**Definition 2.2** (Whitehead graph of a train-track). Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track map representing  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ . Let  $v \in V\Gamma$ . The *Whitehead graph*  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  is a simple graph defined as follows. The set of vertices of  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  is the set of all oriented edges  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  with  $o(e) = v$ .

Two distinct oriented edges  $e', e''$  of  $\Gamma$  with origin  $v$  represent adjacent vertices in  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  if the turn  $e', e''$  is taken by  $f$ , that is, if there exist  $e \in E\Gamma$  and  $n \geq 1$  such that the turn  $e', e''$  is contained in the edge-path  $f^n(e)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  (where  $N \geq 2$ ) and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track representative such that for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $A(f^m) > 0$ . Then  $A(f^t)$  is irreducible for all  $t \geq 1$  and, moreover,  $A(f^t) > 0$  for all  $t \geq m$ . Hence for every  $v \in V\Gamma$  and  $t \geq 1$  we have  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f) = Wh_\Gamma(v, f^t)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  be an iwip and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . Then

- (1) The transition matrix  $A(f)$  is irreducible and for each  $e \in E\Gamma$  we have  $|f^n(e)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2) There exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) is a straightforward corollary of the definitions, as observed, for example, on p. 5 of [2].

To see that (2) holds, choose  $s \geq 1$  such that every periodic vertex is fixed by  $f^s$  and for every periodic edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  the path  $f^s(e)$  begins with  $e$ . By part (1) we know that the length of every edge of  $\Gamma$  goes to infinity under the iterations of  $f$ . Hence we can find a multiple  $k$  of  $s$  such that for every edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  we have  $|f^k(e)| \geq 2$ . Put  $g = f^k$ . Thus  $g : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is a train-track representative of  $\varphi^k$ .

Now choose a periodic edge  $e_0$  of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $g(e_0)$  has length  $\geq 2$  and starts with  $e_0$ , it follows that for every  $n \geq 0$  the path  $g^n(e_0)$  is a proper initial segment of  $g^{n+1}(e_0)$ . Let  $\gamma = e_0, e_1, \dots$ , be a semi-infinite edge-path such that for all  $n \geq 1$   $g^n(e_0)$  is an initial segment of  $\gamma$ . By construction we have  $g(\gamma) = \gamma$ . (That is why this  $\gamma$  is sometimes called a *combinatorial eigenray*, see [13]). Let  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  be the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  obtained by taking the union of all the edges of  $\gamma$  and their vertices. By construction  $g(\Gamma_0) \subseteq \Gamma_0$  and hence  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  since by assumption  $\varphi$  is an iwip and thus  $\varphi^k$  is irreducible. Thus there exists  $t \geq 1$  such that  $g^t(e_0)$  passes through every topological edge of  $\Gamma$ , and therefore, for all  $n \geq t$  the path  $g^n(e_0)$  passes through every topological edge of  $\Gamma$ . Applying the same argument to every periodic edge, we can find  $t \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \geq t$  and every periodic edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  the path  $g^n(e)$  passes through every topological edge of  $\Gamma$ .

Since  $E\Gamma$  is finite, there is an integer  $b \geq 1$  such that for every edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  the initial edge of  $g^b(e)$  is periodic. Then for  $m = b + t$  we have  $A(g^m) = A(f^{km}) > 0$ , as required. □

**Remark 2.5.** The proof of Lemma 2.4 can be straightforwardly modified to produce an algorithm that, given a train-track representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of some  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  such that  $f$  satisfies condition (1) of Lemma 2.4, decides whether or not there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) > 0$ , and if yes, produces such  $m$ . Namely, define  $g = f^k$  exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Then, given a periodic edge  $e$ , start iterating  $g$  on  $e$  until the first time we find  $t \geq 1$  such that  $g^{t+1}(e)$  passes through the same collection of topological edges of  $\Gamma$  as does  $g^t(e)$ . Let  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0(e)$

be the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  given by the union of edges of  $g^t(e)$ . By construction, we have  $g(\Gamma_0) \subseteq \Gamma_0$ . If  $\Gamma_0 \neq \Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma_0$  is a proper  $f^k$ -invariant subgraph of  $\Gamma$  and hence there does not exist  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) > 0$ . If for every periodic edge  $e$  we have  $\Gamma_0(e) = \Gamma$ , then we have found  $t \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \geq t$  and every periodic edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  the path  $g^n(e)$  passes through every topological edge of  $\Gamma$ . Then, again as in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we can find an integer  $b \geq 1$  such that for every edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  the initial edge of  $g^b(e)$  is periodic. Then for  $m = b + t$  we have  $A(g^m) = A(f^{km}) > 0$ .

### 3. STABLE LAMINATIONS

In [4] Bestvina, Feighn and Handel defined the notion of a "stable lamination" associated to an iwip  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ . A generalization of this notion for arbitrary automorphism plays a key role in the solution of the Tits Alternative for  $\text{Out}(F_N)$  by Bestvina, Feighn and Handel [5, 6]. We need to state their definition of a "stable lamination" in a slightly more general context than that considered in [4].

For the remainder of this section let  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  be an outer automorphism (where  $N \geq 2$ ) and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track representative of  $\varphi$  such that for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $A(f^m) > 0$ . (By a result of [2] and Lemma 2.4 every iwip  $\varphi$  admits a train-track representative with the above property, and, moreover, every train-track representative of an iwip  $\varphi$  has this property.)

Note that the assumption on  $f$  implies that  $A(f^k)$  is irreducible for every  $k \geq 1$  and, moreover,  $A(f^k) > 0$  for all  $k \geq m$ .

**Definition 3.1** (Stable lamination). The *stable lamination*  $\Lambda(f)$  of  $f$  consists of all the bi-infinite edge-paths

$$\gamma = \dots e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots$$

in  $\Gamma$  with the following property:

For all  $i \leq j$ ,  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  there exist  $n \geq 1$  and  $e \in E\Gamma$  such that  $e_i, \dots, e_j$  is a subpath of the path  $f^n(e)$ . A path  $\gamma$  as above is called a *leaf* of  $\Lambda(f)$ .

Note that Remark 2.3 implies that, under the assumptions on  $f$  made in this section, for every  $k \geq 1$  we have  $\Lambda(f) = \Lambda(f^k)$ .

Let  $H \leq F_N$  be a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup. The  $\Gamma$ -*Stallings core*  $\Delta_H$  corresponding to  $H$  (see [21, 17] for details) is the smallest finite connected subgraph of the covering  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $H \leq F_N$ , such that the inclusion  $\Delta_H \subseteq \widehat{\Gamma}$  is a homotopy equivalence. Note that  $\Delta_H$  comes equipped with a canonical immersion  $\Delta_H \rightarrow \Gamma$  obtained by the restriction of the covering map  $\widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$  to the subgraph  $\Delta_H$ . By construction every vertex of  $\Delta_H$  has degree  $\geq 2$ . Moreover, it is not hard to see that for every  $w \in F_N$  we have  $\Delta_H = \Delta_{wHw^{-1}}$ .

We say that a nontrivial finitely generated subgroup  $H \leq F_N$  *carries a leaf* of  $\Lambda(f)$  if there exists a leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\Lambda(f)$  such that  $\gamma$  lifts to a bi-infinite path in  $\Delta_H$ .

### 4. WHITEHEAD GRAPHS AND ALGORITHMIC DECIDABILITY OF BEING AN IWIP

The following statement, based on the procedure of "blowing up" a train-track, is fairly well-known, and first appears, in somewhat more restricted context, in the proof of Proposition 4.5 in [2]. We present a sketch of the proof for completeness.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be an expanding train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . Suppose that there exists a vertex  $u \in V\Gamma$  such that the Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(u, f)$  is disconnected. Then  $\varphi$  is reducible.*

*Sketch of proof.* We construct a graph  $\Gamma'$  and a graph-map  $f' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$  as follows. For each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$  introduce a new vertex  $v^*$  and  $k$  vertices new  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  where  $k$  is the number of connected components of  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$ . We call  $v^*$  a *center-vertex* and the vertices  $v_i$  *sub-vertices*. The vertex set of  $\Gamma'$  consists of the center-vertices and sub-vertices corresponding to all  $v \in V\Gamma$ . The edge-set of  $\Gamma'$  is a disjoint union of two sets of edges. First, every oriented edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  is also an edge of  $\Gamma'$ . For  $e \in E\Gamma$  with  $v = o(e)$  in  $\Gamma$  we put  $o(e) = v_i$  in  $\Gamma'$  where  $v_i$  is the sub-vertex coming from  $v$  corresponding to the connected component of  $Wh_\Gamma(u, f)$  containing  $e$ . Second, for each  $v \in V\Gamma$  with the corresponding sub-vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  we have an edge connecting  $v^*$  and  $v_i$  in  $\Gamma'$ . We call these latter types of edges of  $\Gamma'$  *sub-edges* corresponding to  $v$ . Note that the graph  $\Gamma'$  is connected but it may have degree-one vertices (namely, those center-vertices  $v^*$  such that  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  is connected).

We now define a map  $f' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ . For each vertex  $v \in V\Gamma$  with  $z = f(v)$  put  $f'(v^*) = z^*$ . Let  $v_i$  be a sub-vertex corresponding to  $v$  and  $e$  is an edge of  $\Gamma$  originating at  $v$  and belonging to the connected component of  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  representing  $v_i$ . We put  $f'(v_i)$  to be the sub-vertex at  $z = f(v)$  corresponding to the initial edge  $Df(e)$  of  $f(e)$ . It is easy to check that if two edges  $e_1, e_2 \in E\Gamma$  with origin  $v$  are adjacent in  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  then the edges  $Df(e_1)$  and  $Df(e_2)$  are adjacent in  $Wh_\Gamma(z, f)$ . It follows that for any edge  $e \in E\Gamma$  the edge-path  $f(e)$  in  $\Gamma$  can also be viewed as an edge-path in  $\Gamma'$  and we put  $f'(e) = f(e)$ . Finally, if  $e$  is a sub-edge at  $v$  joining  $v^*$  and a sub-vertex  $v_i$ , and if  $z = f(v)$ , we put  $f'(e)$  to be the sub-edge joining  $z^*$  and the sub-vertex  $f'(v_i)$ . A straightforward check shows that  $f' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$  is a continuous graph-map. Moreover, contracting all the sub-edges in  $\Gamma'$  to points is a homotopy equivalence between  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $f' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$  is a topological representative of  $\varphi$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be the subgraph of  $\Gamma'$  given by the union of all the edges of  $\Gamma$  and of their end-vertices in  $\Gamma'$  (i.e. of all the sub-vertices). Thus, topologically,  $\Delta$  is obtained from  $\Gamma'$  by removing all the center-vertices and the interiors of all the sub-edges.

By construction we have  $f'(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$ . The assumption that there exists a vertex  $u \in V\Gamma$  such that the Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(u, f)$  is disconnected implies that the inclusion  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma'$  is not a homotopy equivalence. Moreover, the graph  $\Delta$  is not a forest. Indeed, by assumption  $f$  is expanding. Choose an edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  and  $n \geq 1$  such that the simplicial length of  $f^n(e)$  is greater than the number of oriented edges in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $f^n(e)$  contains an edge subpath  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma$  is a nontrivial simple circuit in  $\Gamma$ . Then, by definition of  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Delta$ ,  $\gamma$  is also a circuit in  $\Delta$ . Thus  $\Delta$  is not a forest. Since  $\Delta$  is  $f'$ -invariant, homotopically nontrivial, and its inclusion in  $\Gamma'$  is not a homotopy equivalence, we conclude that  $\varphi$  is reducible, as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $N \geq 2$ ,  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  and let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track representative of  $\varphi$  such that  $A(f) > 0$ . Suppose that for every  $v \in V\Gamma$  the Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  is connected.*

*Then there does not exist a finitely generated subgroup of infinite index in  $F_N$  that carries a leaf of the lamination  $\Lambda(f)$ .*

*Sketch of proof.* The proof Proposition 2.4 in [4] and the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [4] on which Proposition 2.4 relies, work verbatim under the above assumptions. The conclusion of Proposition 2.4 of [4] is exactly the conclusion that we need, namely that no f.g. subgroup of infinite index in  $F_N$  carries a leaf of  $\Lambda(f)$ . We provide a sketch of the proof, for completeness.

Note that for any  $k \geq 1$  we have  $A(f^k) > 0$  and  $\Lambda(f^k) = \Lambda(f)$ . Thus if needed, we can always replace  $f$  by its positive power, and we will repeatedly do so below. Suppose that a leaf of  $\Lambda(f)$  is carried by a finitely generated infinite index subgroup  $H \leq F_N$ . First, by adding some edges, we complete  $\Delta_H$  to a finite cover  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$ . Note that since  $H$  has infinite index in  $F_N$ , we really do need to add at least one new edge. We then pass to a further finite cover  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma_1$  (and thus of  $\Gamma$ ) such that  $f$  lifts to a map  $f' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ . Denote the covering map by  $\pi : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ . By construction,  $f'$  is a train-track map and for every  $k \geq 1$   $(f')^k$  is a lift of  $f^k$ . We may assume, after passing to powers, that for every  $f'$ -periodic edge  $e'$  of  $\Gamma'$  the path  $f'(e')$  begins with  $e'$ , and that the same property holds for  $f$ . Obviously, every turn in  $\Gamma'$  taken by  $f'$  projects to a turn in  $\Gamma$  taken by  $f$ .

**Claim 1.** We claim that, after possibly replacing  $f'$  by a further power, a non-degenerate turn in  $\Gamma'$  is taken by  $f'$  if and only if this turn projects to a turn in  $\Gamma$  taken by  $f$ .

Let  $a'b'$  be a reduced edge-path of length two in  $\Gamma'$  projecting to a path  $ab$  in  $\Gamma$  such that the turn  $a^{-1}, b$  is taken by  $f$ . The assumption on  $f$  implies that, after possibly passing to further powers, we have  $f(a) = \dots ab \dots$ . This yields a fixed point  $x$  of  $f$  in the interior of  $a$ . Since  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence,  $f'$  permutes the finite set  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  in  $\Gamma'$ . Passing to a further power, we may assume that  $f'$  actually fixes  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  pointwise. Therefore we get a fixed point of  $f'$  inside  $a'$  and, using the fact that  $\pi$  is a covering, we conclude that the path  $f'(a')$  contains the turn  $(a')^{-1}, b'$ . A similar argument shows that (again after possibly taking further powers), the path  $f'(b')$  also contains the turn  $(a')^{-1}, b'$ . This implies, in particular, that a non-degenerate turn  $\Gamma'$  is taken by  $f'$  if and only if this turn is a lift to  $\Gamma'$  of a turn taken by  $f$ , thus verifying Claim 1.

We pass to an iterate of  $f'$  for which the conclusion of Claim 1 holds, and replace  $f$  by its corresponding iterate. Then for every vertex  $v'$  of  $\Gamma'$  projecting to a vertex  $v$  in  $\Gamma$  the Whitehead graph  $Wh_{\Gamma'}(v', f')$  is exactly the lift of  $Wh_{\Gamma}(v, f)$ , and, in particular,  $Wh_{\Gamma'}(v', f')$  is connected.

**Claim 2.** The matrix  $A(f')$  is irreducible.

Let  $a', b'$  be arbitrary edges of  $\Gamma'$ . Consider the maximal subgraph  $\Gamma''$  of  $\Gamma'$  obtained as the union of all edges  $c'$  admitting an edge-path  $a' = e'_0, \dots, e'_n = c'$  in  $\Gamma'$  such that every turn contained in this path is taken by  $f'$ . The properties of  $f'$  established in the proof of Claim 1 above imply that for every edge  $c'$  of  $\Gamma'$  some  $f'$ -iterate of  $a'$  passes through  $c'$ . We claim that  $\Gamma'' = \Gamma'$ . If not, then there exists a vertex  $v'$  of  $\Gamma'$  which is adjacent to both  $\Gamma''$  and  $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma''$ . The Whitehead graph  $Wh_{\Gamma'}(v', f')$  is connected, and hence there is an  $f'$ -taken turn at  $v'$  consisting of an edge of  $\Gamma''$  and an edge of  $\Gamma' \setminus \Gamma''$ , contrary to maximality of  $\Gamma''$ . Thus indeed  $\Gamma'' = \Gamma'$  and hence  $b' \in E\Gamma'$ . This means that some iterate of  $a'$  under  $f'$  passes through  $b'$ . Since  $a', b'$  were arbitrary, it follows that  $A(f')$  is irreducible, and Claim 2 is established.

Recall that we assumed that the statement of the proposition fails for  $H$ , so that there exists a leaf  $\gamma$  of  $\Lambda(f)$  that lifts to  $\Delta_H$ . Choose an  $f$ -periodic edge  $e$  in  $\gamma$ .

Then for every  $n \geq 1$  the path  $f^n(e)$  lifts to a path  $\alpha_n$  in  $\Delta_H$ , and, in turn,  $\alpha_n$  lifts to a path  $\beta_n$  in  $\Gamma'$ . Each  $\beta_n$  projects to  $f^n(e)$  and starts with an  $f'$ -periodic edge  $e'_n$ . Since  $\Gamma'$  is finite, we can find a sequence  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and an  $f'$ -periodic edge  $e'$  such that for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  we have  $e'_{n_i} = e'$ , so that  $\beta_{n_i}$  starts with  $e'$ . Since  $e'$  is  $f'$ -periodic and  $f'(e')$  starts with  $e'$ , and since  $f'$  is a lift of  $f$ , it follows that the path  $(f')^{n_i}(e') = \beta_{n_i}$  projects to a path in  $\Delta_H$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since for every  $s \leq n_i$   $(f')^s(e')$  is an initial segment of  $(f')^{n_i}(e')$ , it follows that for every  $n \geq 1$  the path  $(f')^n(e')$  projects to an edge-path in  $\Delta_H$ . Therefore for an edge  $e''$  of  $\Gamma'$  covering an edge of  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Delta_H$  there does not exist  $n \geq 1$  such that  $(f')^n(e')$  passes through  $e''$ . This contradicts the fact that  $A(f')$  is irreducible.  $\square$

**Definition 4.3** (Clean train-track). Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be a train-track map. We say that  $f$  is *clean* if for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $A(f^m) > 0$  and if for every vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$  the Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(f, v)$  is connected.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $N \geq 3$  and let  $\varphi \in Out(F_N)$  be an atoroidal element. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The automorphism  $\varphi$  is an iwip.*
- (2) *There exists a clean train-track representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\varphi$  and, moreover, every train-track representative of  $\varphi$  is clean.*
- (3) *There exists a clean train-track representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* We first show that (1) implies (2). Thus suppose that  $\varphi$  is a atoroidal iwip. Then, as proved in [2], there exists a train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . Let  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  be an arbitrary train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an iwip, Lemma 2.4 implies that  $A(f)$  is irreducible and that there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) > 0$ . Hence, by Remark 2.3, for all  $v \in V\Gamma$  and all  $t \geq 1$  we have  $Wh_\Gamma(f, v) = Wh_\Gamma(f^t, v)$ . Moreover, Proposition 4.1 now implies that for every vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$  the Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(f, v) = Wh_\Gamma(f^m, v)$  is connected. Thus  $f$  is clean and condition (2) is verified.

It is obvious that (2) implies (3). It remains to show that (3) implies (1). Thus suppose that there exists a clean train-track representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\varphi$ .

We claim that  $\varphi$  is an iwip. Suppose not. Then  $\varphi^m$  is not an iwip either. Thus we may assume that  $m = 1$ , so that  $A(f) > 0$ .

Then there exists a proper free factor  $H$  of  $F_N$  such that for some  $k \geq 1$  we have  $\varphi^k([H]) = [H]$ . Let  $\Delta_H$  be the  $\Gamma$ -Stallings core for  $H$ . Choose a nontrivial element  $h \in H$  and let  $\gamma$  be an immersed circuit in  $\Gamma$  representing the conjugacy class of  $h$ . Since by assumption  $\varphi$  is atoroidal, the cyclically tightened length of  $f^n(\gamma)$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $s$  be the simplicial length of  $\gamma$ , so that  $\gamma = e_1 \dots e_s$ . Let  $\gamma_n$  be the immersed circuit in  $\Gamma$  given by the cyclically tightened form of  $f^{kn}(\gamma)$ . We can obtain  $\gamma_n$  by cyclic tightening of the path  $f^{nk}(e_1) \dots f^{nk}(e_s)$ . Thus  $\gamma_n$  is a concatenation of  $\leq s$  segments, each of which is a subsegment of  $f^{nk}(e)$  for some  $e \in E\Gamma$ . Since the simplicial length of  $\gamma_n$  goes to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the length of at least one of these segments tends to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

By assumption  $\gamma_n$  lifts to a circuit in  $\Delta_H$ . Hence there exists a sequence of segments  $\alpha_n$  in  $\Gamma$  such that each  $\alpha_n$  lifts to a path in  $\Delta_H$ , such that the simplicial length of  $\alpha_n$  goes to infinity as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that there are  $e_n \in E\Gamma$  and  $t_n \geq 1$  with the property that  $\alpha_n$  is a subpath of  $f^{t_n}(e_n)$ . Moreover, since  $E\Gamma$  is finite, after passing to a subsequence we can even assume that  $e_n = e \in E\Gamma$  for all



$n \geq 1$ . By a standard compactness argument, it follows that  $H$  carries a leaf of  $\Lambda(f)$ , contrary to the conclusion of Proposition 4.2. Thus  $\varphi$  is an iwip, as claimed.  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** The assumption that  $\varphi$  be atoroidal in Proposition 4.4 is essential. One can construct  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$ , coming from a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a surface  $S$  with  $\geq 2$  punctures, such that there is a clean train-track  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  representing  $\varphi$ . Then Proposition 4.2 still applies, and we do know that no leaf of  $\Lambda(f)$  is carried by a finitely generated subgroup of infinite index in  $F_N$ . However,  $\varphi$  is not an iwip, since the peripheral curves around punctures in  $S$  represent primitive elements in  $F_N$  and thus generate cyclic subgroups that are periodic proper free factors of  $F_N$ .

A specific example of this kind is provided by Bestvina and Handel in Section 6.3 of [3] and illustrated in Figure 33 on p. 139 of [3]. In this example  $S$  is a 5-punctured sphere, so that  $\pi_1(S) = F_4$ , and  $\varphi$  is induced by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of  $S$  cyclically permuting the five punctures. The outer automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $F_4 = F(a, b, c, d)$  is represented by  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_4)$  given by  $\Phi(a) = b$ ,  $\Phi(b) = c$ ,  $\Phi(c) = da^{-1}$  and  $\Phi(d) = d^{-1}c^{-1}$ . We can represent  $\varphi$  in the obvious way by a graph-map  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is the wedge of four loop-edges, corresponding to  $a, b, c, d$ , wedged at a single vertex  $v$ . Then, as observed in [3] and is easy to verify directly,  $f$  is a train-track map with an irreducible transition matrix. A direct check shows that  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$  is connected and that  $A(f^6) > 0$ . Thus  $f$  is a clean train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . However, as noted above,  $\varphi$  is not an iwip. Thus the element  $a \in F(a, b, c, d)$  in this example corresponds to a peripheral curve on  $S$  and we see that  $\Phi^5(a) = cdad^{-1}c^{-1}$ , so that  $\varphi^5$  preserves the conjugacy class of a proper free factor  $\langle a \rangle$  of  $F(a, b, c, d)$ . The fact that  $\Phi^5(a) = cdad^{-1}c^{-1}$  also explicitly demonstrates that  $\varphi$  is not atoroidal. Note also that in this example  $\varphi$  is irreducible but it is not an iwip, since  $\varphi^5$  is reducible.

**Theorem 4.6.** *There exists an algorithm that, given  $N \geq 2$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Out}(F_N)$  decides whether or not  $\varphi$  is an iwip.*

*Proof.* We first determine whether  $\varphi$  is atoroidal, as follows. Let  $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(F_N)$  be a representative of  $\varphi$  and put  $G = F_N \rtimes_\Phi \langle t \rangle$  be the mapping torus group of  $\Phi$ . It is known, by a result of Brinkmann [9], that  $\varphi$  is atoroidal if and only if  $G$  is word-hyperbolic. Thus we start running in parallel the following two procedures. The first is a partial algorithm, due to Papasoglu [19], detecting hyperbolicity of  $G$ . The second procedure looks for  $\varphi$ -periodic conjugacy classes of elements of  $F_N$ . Eventually exactly one of these two processes will terminate and we will know whether or not  $\varphi$  is atoroidal.

**Case 1.** Suppose first that  $\varphi$  turns out to be atoroidal (and hence  $N \geq 3$ ).

We then run an algorithm of Bestvina-Handel [2] which tries to construct a train-track representative of  $\varphi$ . As proved in [2], this algorithm always terminates and either produces a train-track representative of  $\varphi$  with an irreducible transition matrix or finds a reduction for  $\varphi$ , thus showing that  $\varphi$  is reducible. If the latter happens, we conclude that  $\varphi$  is not an iwip. Suppose now that the former happens and we have found a train-track representative  $f : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  of  $\varphi$  with irreducible  $A(f)$ . We first check if it is true that for every edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  there exists  $t \geq 1$  such that  $|f(e)| \geq 2$ . If not, we conclude, by Lemma 2.4, that  $\varphi$  is not an iwip. If yes, we then check, e.g. using the algorithm from Remark 2.5, if there exists

an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) = (A(f))^m > 0$ . If no such  $m \geq 1$  exists, we conclude, again by Lemma 2.4, that  $\varphi$  is not an iwip. Suppose now we have found  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A(f^m) > 0$ . We then check if it is true that every vertex of  $\Gamma$  has a connected Whitehead graph  $Wh_\Gamma(v, f)$ . If not, then we conclude that  $\varphi$  is not an iwip, by Proposition 4.1. If yes, then  $f$  is clean and we conclude that  $\varphi$  is an iwip, by Proposition 4.4. Thus for an atoroidal  $\varphi$  we can indeed algorithmically determine whether or not  $\varphi$  is an iwip.

**Case 2.** Suppose now that  $\varphi$  turned out to be non-atoroidal. Then Proposition 4.5 of [2] implies that  $\varphi$  is an iwip if and only if  $\varphi$  is induced by a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a compact surface  $S$  with a single boundary component. Thus either  $\varphi$  has a periodic conjugacy class of a proper free factor of  $F_N$  or  $\varphi$  is induced by a pseudo-Anosov of a compact surface  $S$  with a single boundary component.

We now start running in parallel the following two processes.

The first process looks for a periodic conjugacy class of a proper free factor of  $F_N$ : we start enumerating all the proper free factors  $H_1, H_2, \dots$  of  $F_N$  and for each  $H_i$  we start listing its images  $\varphi(H_i), \varphi^2(H_i), \varphi^3(H_i), \dots$  and check if  $\varphi^j([H_i]) = [H_i]$ . The process terminates if we find  $i, j$  such that  $\varphi^j([H_i]) = [H_i]$ .

The second process looks for the realization of  $\varphi$  as a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism of a compact surface  $S$  as above. Note that if  $g$  belongs to the mapping class group  $Mod(S)$  of  $S$  and if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : F_N \rightarrow \pi_1(S)$  are two isomorphisms, then the elements of  $Out(F_N)$  corresponding to  $g$  via  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are related by a conjugation in  $Out(F_N)$ . Thus, in order to account for all possible realizations of  $\varphi$  of the above type, do the following. Depending on the rank  $N$  of  $F_N$ , there are either exactly one (non-orientable) or exactly two (one orientable and one non-orientable) topological types of compact connected surfaces  $S$  with one boundary component and with  $\pi_1(S)$  free of rank  $N$ . For each of these choices of  $S$  we fix an isomorphism  $\alpha : F_N \rightarrow \pi_1(S)$ . Then start enumerating all the elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  of  $Mod(S)$ , and, for each such  $g_i$ , start enumerating all the  $Out(F_N)$ -conjugates  $\psi_{ij}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  of the element of  $Out(F_N)$  corresponding to  $g_i$  via  $\alpha$ . Then for each  $\psi_{ij}$  check if  $\psi_{ij} = \varphi$  in  $Out(F_N)$ . If not, continue the enumeration of all the  $\psi_{ij}$ , and if yes, use the algorithm from [3] to decide whether or not  $g_i$  is pseudo-Anosov. If  $g_i$  is pseudo-Anosov, we terminate the process; otherwise, we continue the diagonal enumeration of all the  $\psi_{ij}$ .

Eventually exactly one of these two processes will terminate. If the first process terminates, we conclude that  $\varphi$  is not an iwip. If the second process terminates, we conclude that  $\varphi$  is an iwip. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn, *A combination theorem for negatively curved groups*. J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 1, 85–101
- [2] M. Bestvina, and M. Handel, *Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups*. Ann. of Math. (2) **135** (1992), no. 1, 1–51
- [3] M. Bestvina, and M. Handel, *Train-tracks for surface homeomorphisms*. Topology **34** (1995), no. 1, 109–140
- [4] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *Laminations, trees, and irreducible automorphisms of free groups*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **7** (1997), no. 2, 215–244
- [5] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *The Tits alternative for  $Out(F_n)$ . I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms*. Ann. of Math. (2) **151** (2000), no. 2, 517–623

- [6] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *The Tits alternative for  $\text{Out}(F_n)$ . II. A Kolchin type theorem*. Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 1, 1–59
- [7] O. Bogopolski, *Introduction to group theory*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008
- [8] M. R. Bridson, and D. Groves, *The quadratic isoperimetric inequality for mapping tori of free group automorphisms*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **203** (2010), no. 955
- [9] P. Brinkmann, *Hyperbolic automorphisms of free groups*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 5, 1071–1089
- [10] M. Clay, and A. Pettet, *Twisting out fully irreducible automorphisms*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), no. 3, 657–689
- [11] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, *Botany of irreducible automorphisms of free groups*, Pacific J. Math. **256** (2012), no. 2, 291–307
- [12] W. Dicks, and E. Ventura, *The group fixed by a family of injective endomorphisms of a free group*. Contemporary Mathematics, 195. American Mathematical Society, 1996
- [13] D. Gaboriau, A. Jaeger, G. Levitt, and M. Lustig, *An index for counting fixed points of automorphisms of free groups*. Duke Math. J. **93** (1998), no. 3, 425–452
- [14] V. Guirardel, *Dynamics of  $\text{Out}(F_n)$  on the boundary of outer space*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **33** (2000), no. 4, 433–465
- [15] A. Jäger and M. Lustig, *Free group automorphisms with many fixed points at infinity*. The Zieschang Gedenkschrift, 321–333, Geom. Topol. Monogr., vol. 14, 2008
- [16] I. Kapovich and M. Lustig, *Ping-pong and Outer space*, Journal of Topology and Analysis **2** (2010), 173–201
- [17] I. Kapovich and A. Myasnikov, *Stallings foldings and the subgroup structure of free groups*, J. Algebra **248** (2002), no. 2, 608–668
- [18] G. Levitt and M. Lustig, *Irreducible automorphisms of  $F_n$  have North-South dynamics on compactified outer space*. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 1, 59–72
- [19] P. Papasoglu, *An algorithm detecting hyperbolicity*. Geometric and computational perspectives on infinite groups (Minneapolis, MN and New Brunswick, NJ, 1994), 193–200, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., 25, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996
- [20] C. Pfaff, *Constructing and Classifying Fully Irreducible Outer Automorphisms of Free Groups*, preprint, 2012; arXiv:1205.5320
- [21] J. R. Stallings, *Topology of finite graphs*. Invent. Math. **71** (1983), no. 3, 551–565
- [22] K. Vogtmann, *Automorphisms of Free Groups and Outer Space*, Geometriae Dedicata **94** (2002), 1–31

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

<http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~kapovich/>

E-mail address: kapovich@math.uiuc.edu